### 1) Preferred Option
- a) Individual On-Site Septic Systems: 18
- b) Clustered (Neighborhood) System: 3
- c) Centralized (Entire Project Area) System: 0
- d) Centralized Collection System to Sequim: 4

### 2) What is the most important factor to you in selecting your preferred alternative?
- a) Environmental (water quality, habitat, shellfish, etc.): 12
- b) Financial: 10
- c) Other (continue with current progress, project unjustified/need more data, financial concerns, maintain private control over systems): 10

### 3) If a clustered system or centralized system is selected as the preferred alternative, would you be willing to connect to the new sewer system?
- Yes: 6
- No: 8
- Not Sure: 10

### 4) For Question 3 above, would cost alone determine your decision to connect?
- Yes: 14
- No: 11
- Not Sure: 0

### 5) If Individual On-Site Systems (currently used in project area) was selected as the preferred alternative, would you need financial assistance for improving your system (assuming your system needs improvements)?
- Yes: 6
- No: 15
- Not Sure: 4

### 6) Have you made significant improvements to your on-site system within the last 5 years?
- Yes: 9
- No: 14
- Not Sure: 1

### 7) Improvement Dates and Cost Ranges

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Range</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Cost Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Within past 5 years</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>$1,600 to $11,000 (median $9,000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007 to 2000</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$10,000 to $27,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000 to 1990</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$17,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 8) Other issues and concerns:
- * Documents/study does not support need for change and need more data
- * Get everyone in compliance with today’s standards first
- * None of the alternatives address the 93% fecal coliform problem (only 7% is human based)
- * I don’t have enough land to improve my on-site system
- * Pollution sources are from Dungeness River and Meadowbrook Creek, not Shoreline homes