

Dungeness Wastewater Feasibility Study

Survey Summary - March 2013

(n=26, but may include a few from outside the study boundaries)

1) Preferred Option		
a) Individual On-Site Septic Systems		18
b) Clustered (Neighborhood) System		3
c) Centralized (Entire Project Area) System		0
d) Centralized Collection System to Sequim		4
2) What is the most important factor to you in selecting your preferred alternative?		
a) Environmental (water quality, habitat, shellfish, etc.)		12
b) Financial		10
c) Other (continue with current progress, project unjustified/ need more data, financial concerns, maintain private control over systems)		10
3) If a clustered system or centralized system is selected as the preferred alternative, would you be willing to connect to the new sewer system?		
Yes		6
No		8
Not Sure		10
4) For Question 3 above, would cost alone determine your decision to connect?		
Yes		14
No		11
Not Sure		0
5) If Individual On-Site Systems (currently used in project area) was selected as the preferred alternative, would you need financial assistance for improving your system (assuming your system needs improvements)?		
Yes		6
No		15
Not Sure		4
6) Have you made significant improvements to your on-site system within the last 5 years?		
Yes		9
No		14
Not Sure		1
7) Improvement Dates and Cost Ranges		
Within past 5 years (2008 to 2013)	5	\$1,600 to \$11,000 (median \$9,000)
2007 to 2000	3	\$10,000 to \$27,000
2000 to 1990	1	\$17,000
8) Other issues and concerns:		
* Documents/study does not support need for change and need more data		
* Get everyone in compliance with today's standards first		
* None of the alternatives address the 93% fecal coliform problem (only 7% is human based)		
* I don't have enough land to improve my on-site system		
* Pollution sources are from Dungeness River and Meadowbrook Creek, not Shoreline homes		